

Minimal models for MFs 25 (checked)

Let A denote for $d > 2$ the minimal A_∞ -algebra with underlying $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra the exterior algebra $\wedge(k\varepsilon)$ where $|\varepsilon|=1$, and A_∞ -products $\{m_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ with only m_2, m_d nonzero, and $m_d(\varepsilon \otimes \dots \otimes \varepsilon) = 1$.

Given $2 \leq i \leq d-2$ with $i < d-i$ define

$$M_{(i)} = \wedge(k\tilde{\varepsilon}) \quad |\tilde{\varepsilon}|=1$$

$$\alpha_n: A^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes M_{(i)} \longrightarrow M_{(i)}$$

$\lceil h, k \text{ to be determined} \rceil$

$$\alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2(1, -) = \text{id}$$

$$\alpha_{i+1}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, -) = (-1)^h \tilde{\varepsilon}^* \lceil (-) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\alpha_{d-i+1}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, -) = (-1)^k \tilde{\varepsilon} \lceil (-)$$

We check (some of) the A_∞ -constraints making $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ into an A_∞ -module (using $(-1)^{r+st}$ signs). The possible contributions are $m_2, m_d, \alpha_2, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{d-i+1}$.

The interesting one is where $\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{d-i+1}$ both contribute, which is the constraint for $n = d+1$. Schematically, this will have terms

$$A^{\otimes d} \otimes M_{(i)} \longrightarrow M_{(i)}$$

$$\alpha_2 m_d + \alpha_{i+1} \alpha_{d-i+1} + \alpha_{d-i+1} \alpha_{i+1} \quad (1.2)$$

①	②	③
$r = 0$	$r = i$	$r = d-i$
$s = d$	$s = d-i+1$	$s = i+1$
$t = 1$	$t = 0$	$t = 0$

which we compute separately. It is clear all three terms are nonvanishing only on (among basis elts of $\wedge(k\varepsilon)^{\otimes d}$) the basis element $\varepsilon^{\otimes d}$, and

(2)

$$\alpha_2 m_d(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, x) = \alpha_2(1, x) = x \quad (2.1)$$

$$\alpha_{i+1} \alpha_{d-i+1}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, x) = \alpha_{i+1}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, \overline{f} \wedge x) = (-1)^{h+k} \overline{f}^* \lrcorner (\overline{f} \wedge x)$$

$$\alpha_{d-i+1} \alpha_{i+1}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, x) = \alpha_{d-i+1}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, \overline{f}^* \lrcorner x) = (-1)^{h+k} \overline{f} \wedge (\overline{f}^* \lrcorner x)$$

The signs in (1.2) are ① $(-1)^d$ ② $(-1)^i$ and ③ $(-1)^{d-i}$, so the constraint for $n = d+1$ reads (in its only nontrivial values) for $x \in M(i)$ homogeneous

$$0 = \left[(-1)^d \alpha_2(m_d \otimes 1) + (-1)^i \alpha_{i+1}(1^{\otimes i} \otimes \alpha_{d-i+1}) + (-1)^{d-i} \alpha_{d-i+1}(1^{\otimes d-i} \otimes \alpha_{i+1}) \right](\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, x) \quad (2.2)$$

Now the Koszul sign rule says (since $|\alpha_n| = 2-n = n$)

$$\begin{aligned} (1^{\otimes i} \otimes \alpha_{d-i+1})(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, x) &= (-1)^{i(d-i+1)} \varepsilon^{\otimes i} \otimes \alpha_{d-i+1}(\varepsilon^{\otimes d-i}, x) \\ &= (-1)^{i(d-i+1)} \varepsilon^{\otimes i} \otimes (\overline{f} \wedge x) \cdot (-1)^k \end{aligned}$$

$$(1^{\otimes (d-i)} \otimes \alpha_{i+1})(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, x) = (-1)^{(d-i)(i+1)} \varepsilon^{\otimes d-i} \otimes (\overline{f}^* \lrcorner x) \cdot (-1)^h$$

Hence (2.2) expands to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (-1)^d x + (-1)^{i+i(d-i+1)+h+k} \overline{f}^* \lrcorner (\overline{f} \wedge x) + (-1)^{d-i+(d-i)(i+1)+h+k} \overline{f} \wedge (\overline{f}^* \lrcorner x) \\ &= (-1)^d x + (-1)^{i(d-i)+h+k} x \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{graded commutator} \\ \downarrow \\ [\overline{f}^* \lrcorner (-), \overline{f} \wedge (-)] = i d \end{array}$$

Upshot provided we choose h, k such that $h+k+i(d-i) \equiv d+1 \pmod{2}$, the $n=d+1$ A_∞ -constraint will be satisfied.