

# Fusion in LG models III

(fus193)  
11/1/16  
⑩

In the previous two lectures we have defined defects in topological LG models via matrix factorisations, described the fusion of defects via the tensor product of matrix factorisations, and organised all this into a bicategory  $\mathcal{LG}$ , which we have seen is a very useful setting for things like generalised orbifolding.

Throughout we have emphasised that this defect fusion is computable and we have demonstrated code written for this purpose. In this final lecture we explain how the code works, i.e. the mathematics behind it.

## Outline

- ① The cut operation
- ② Homological perturbation
- ③ An equivalence of bicategories

funlg3  
①

# ① The cut operation

The problem: the composition in  $\mathcal{LG}$  is "infinitary"

$$\mathcal{LG}(V, V) \times \mathcal{LG}(W, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{LG}(W, V)$$

$$(Y, X) \longmapsto Y \otimes X \xleftarrow{\text{infinite matrix}} \text{II2}$$

What is the algorithm  $\longrightarrow Y * X$   
to find this?

Answer The algorithm goes via an intermediate object

$$(Y, X) \longmapsto Y|X \longmapsto Y * X$$

↑  
frank MF of  $V - W$   
with action of a  
Clifford algebra

"the cut"

↑  
split an idempotent, i.e.  
project onto ground  
state.

- This can be promoted to an equivalence of bicategories  $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{LG}$  where composition in  $\mathcal{C}$  is by the cut.

Setup

- $W(x), V(y), U(z)$  are potentials,  $m=|y|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot Y \in \text{hmf}(\mathbb{C}[y, z], U - V) \\ & X \in \text{hmf}(\mathbb{C}[x, y], V - W) \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot J_V = \mathbb{C}[y]/(\partial_{y_1} V, \dots, \partial_{y_m} V) \quad \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \\ \text{f.d. since } V \\ \text{is a potential} \end{matrix}$$

Lemma The tensor product

$$Y|X := \underset{\mathbb{C}[y]}{\otimes} J_V \underset{\mathbb{C}[y]}{\otimes} X$$

with differential  $dy \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes dx$  is a f.rank  
MF of  $U - W$  over  $\mathbb{C}[x, z]$ .

Proof Since  $\underset{\mathbb{C}[y]}{\mathbb{C}[y, z]} \otimes J_V \otimes \underset{\mathbb{C}[y]}{\mathbb{C}[x, y]} \cong \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{C}[z]} \otimes J_V \otimes \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{C}[x]} \cong \underset{\oplus \dim J_V}{\mathbb{C}[x, z]} . \square$

Next We define odd closed  $\mathbb{C}[x, z]$ -linear operators

$$\gamma_i, \gamma_i^+ \hookrightarrow Y|X \quad 1 \leq i \leq m$$

satisfying Clifford relations:

(\*)

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j + \gamma_j \gamma_i \simeq 0, \quad \gamma_i^+ \gamma_j^+ + \gamma_j^+ \gamma_i^+ \simeq 0$$

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j^+ + \gamma_j^+ \gamma_i \simeq \delta_{ij}$$

frülg 3

(3)

The main ingredient is Atiyah classes.

Def<sup>N</sup> set  $t_i = \partial_{y^i} V$  so  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  is a regular sequence.

There is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear flat connection

$$\nabla : \mathbb{C}[[y]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[y]] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \Omega^1_{\mathbb{C}[t]/\mathbb{C}}$$

with components  $\partial_{t_i} \in \mathbb{C}[[y]]$ .

Example  $V = \frac{1}{N+1} y^{N+1} \in \mathbb{C}[y]$  so  $t = \partial_y V = y^N$  and

$$\mathbb{C}[[y]] \cong J_V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[t]] \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{C}[[t]] \cdot y^i$$

and given

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f_i \cdot y^i \quad f_i \in \mathbb{C}[t]$$

$$\partial_t f = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \partial_t(f_i) \cdot y^i$$

Lemma The operator

$$[\partial_{y \otimes X}, \partial_{t_i}] \subset Y \otimes X_{\mathbb{C}[y]}$$

actually for this to make sense we need to extend scalars to  $\mathbb{C}[[y]]$ , but this is a technicality.

is  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -linear and therefore passes to a  $\mathbb{C}[x, z]$ -linear operator on

$$Y|X \cong (Y \otimes X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[t]/(t).$$

Proof By the Leibniz rule, for  $h \in \mathbb{C}[y]$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{t_i}(t_j h) &= \partial_{t_i}(t_j) h + t_j \partial_{t_i}(h) \\ &= \delta_{ij} h + t_j \partial_{t_i}(h)\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore [\partial_{t_i}, t_j] = \delta_{ij}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}[[dy \otimes x, \partial_{t_i}], t_j] &= -[[t_j, dy \otimes x], \partial_{t_i}] \\ &\quad - [[\partial_{t_i}, t_j], dy \otimes x] \\ &= -[\delta_{ij}, dy \otimes x] = 0. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Def<sup>N</sup> The Atiyah classes of  $Y/X$  are the odd closed  $\mathbb{C}(x, z)$ -linear operators

$$At_i := [dy \otimes x, \partial_{t_i}] \subset Y/X.$$

Clifford operators  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \gamma_1^+, \dots, \gamma_n^+ \subset X/X$

$$\gamma_i = At_i \quad (\text{annihilation})$$

$$\gamma_i^+ = -\partial_{y_i}(dx) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_q \partial_{y_i y_q}(v) At_q$$

(creation)

Prop These operators satisfy Clifford relations. (up to homotopy)

finlg

(5)

Defn The cut  $\gamma/X$  is the Clifford representation

$$(\gamma/X, \{\sigma_i, \sigma_i^+\}_{1 \leq i \leq m})$$

in the homotopy category  $hmf(\mathbb{C}[x, z], V - W)$ .

Theorem (Dyckerhoff-M[1], M[2]) The idempotent

$$e = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n \sigma_n^+ \cdots \sigma_1^+ \quad (\text{vacuum projector})$$

on  $\gamma/X$  splits to  $\gamma \otimes X$ , i.e. there is a diagram of matrix factorisations

$$e \hookrightarrow \gamma/X \xleftarrow[g]{f} \gamma \otimes X$$

with

$$fg \simeq e, \quad gf \simeq 1.$$

The Singular code

① Computes  $e$  as a finite matrix of polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[x, z]$ .

② Strictifies  $ee \simeq e$  to  $EE = E$ .

③ Computes the projector  $\text{Im}(E) \cong \text{Im}(e) \cong \gamma \otimes X$ .

④ Then this f-rank  $\text{Im}(E)$  is the fusion  $\gamma * X$ .

## ② Homological perturbation

In the rest of this lecture we discuss the proof of the above theorem. For this we will need

$$S_m := \bigwedge (\mathbb{k} \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{k} \mathcal{O}_n) \quad |\mathcal{O}_i| = 1$$

viewed as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -module. Let  $C_m$  be the associative unital  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra generated by  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_1^+, \dots, \sigma_n^+$  subject to the Clifford relations (\*). Then there is an iso

$$C_m \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(S_m)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i &\longmapsto \mathcal{O}_i \wedge (-) \\ \sigma_i^+ &\longmapsto \mathcal{O}_i^+ \lrcorner (-) \end{aligned}$$

So  $C_m$  is the Clifford algebra and  $S_m$  its spinor rep.

The full version of the theorem is

Thm [M[2]] There is an isomorphism of  $C_m$ -representations

$$Y \otimes X \xrightleftharpoons[\Xi^{-1}]{} S_m \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[y]} (Y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[y]} X)$$

with  $C_m$  acting canonically on the right.

Def<sup>n</sup> A strong deformation retract (SDR) is

$$(M, d) \xrightleftharpoons[\beta]{\pi} (A, d), \quad \phi$$

where  $M, A$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded  $R$ -modules ( $R$  a ring)  
and both  $d^2 = W$  for some  $W \in R$ , such that

- $\pi \beta = 1,$
- $\beta \pi = 1 - [\phi, \phi],$
- $\phi^2 = 0,$
- $\phi \beta = 0,$
- $\pi \phi = 0.$

Now let  $\mathcal{T}: A \rightarrow A$  be an odd operator such that

$$(d + \mathcal{T})^2 = V \cdot 1_A \quad (V \text{ possibly } \neq W)$$

Lemma (Homological perturbation) If  $\phi \mathcal{T}$  has finite order,  
there is a strong deformation retract

$$(M, d_\infty) \xrightleftharpoons[\beta_\infty]{\pi_\infty} (A, d + \mathcal{T}), \quad \phi_\infty$$

Proof of Thm There is an SDR  $(t_i = \partial y_i \vee)$

$$\wedge (k\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus k\mathcal{O}_n) \quad \text{dK}$$

$$(J_V, \mathcal{O}) \longleftrightarrow (S_m, \sum_i t_i \mathcal{O}_i^*)$$

which gives rise to an SDR

$$\underbrace{(Y \otimes J_V \otimes X, \mathcal{O})}_{Y \otimes X} \longleftrightarrow (S_m \otimes (Y \otimes X), d_K)$$

Then using  $d_{Y \otimes X}$  as a perturbation, the perturbation lemma above yields an SDR

$$(Y \otimes X, \overline{d_{Y \otimes X}}) \longleftrightarrow (S_m \otimes (Y \otimes X), d_K + d_{Y \otimes X})$$

|| 2

$$(S_m \otimes (Y \otimes X), d_{Y \otimes X}).$$

This because each  $t_i$

acts null-homotopically on  $Y \otimes X$ .  $\square$

### ③ An equivalence of bicategories

fuslq3  
9

The homotopy equivalence

$$\gamma | X \longleftrightarrow S_m \underset{\mathbb{I}}{\otimes} (\gamma \otimes X)$$

can be promoted to an equivalence of bicategories

$$\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  has

- objects same as  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}$  (i.e. potentials)
- 1-morphisms  $W \rightarrow V$  are frank MF of  $V - W$  equipped with the action of a Clifford alg.
- composition is by the cut operation.

All the structures and operations of  $\mathcal{C}$  are finitary, and described as polynomial functions of their inputs. In this sense  $\mathcal{C}$  is a "finite model" of  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}$ .

Conclusion

- 2D defect TFT = bicategories
- Bicategory  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}$ , fusion = composition
- Generalised orbifolding
- Can compute!

Example Let  $E, F$  be MFs of  $W(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Then  
 $E^\vee$  is a MF of  $-W(x)$ , and we have in  $\mathcal{D}^b$

$$\textcircled{0} \xrightarrow{F} W \xrightarrow{E^\vee} \textcircled{0}$$

- $E^\vee \otimes F \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[x]}(E, F)$  is the Hom-complex
- The cut  $E^\vee | F$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded cpx of f.d.-vector spaces and there is an idempotent  $e \in E^\vee | F$  such that  $\text{Im}(e) = E^\vee \otimes F$ , i.e. there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\text{Im}(e) \cong E^\vee \otimes F$$

*f.d.  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space*       *$\infty$ -rank vector space (f.d. cohomology)*

## References

[1] Dyckerhoff, Murfet "Pushing forward matrix factorisations" 1102.2957.

[2] Murfet "Cut systems and matrix factorisations" arXiv: 1402.4541.