Lecture 20

We have now seen a description of injective Λ -modules as precisely the clivisible modules for any PID (so e.g. \mathbb{Z} or k[x], k a field). Next we use this to show that the category of Λ -modules has "enough" injectives for any ring Λ . This is essential for us to continue developing homological algebra beyond Ext^{1} . The discussion will be phrased in terms of injective cogenerator which are a dual notion to projective generators (Λ is a projective generator in Λ -Mod). However, we should be careful not to think of injectives as "cofree" in a naive sense:

 $\underline{\mathsf{Exl}}$ A functor $F: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with a left (right) adjoint preserves limits (colimits)

Ex 2 The forgetful functor $F: \Lambda - Mod \longrightarrow \underline{Set}$ for a ring Λ has a left adjoint but no right adjoint (there are no "cofree" modules).

Let C be a category with all products and coproducts (re. there limit & colimit exist).

Def We say C has enough projectives if for every object X there exists an epimonphism $P \longrightarrow X$ with P projective. Dually C has enough injectives if for every X there is a monomorphism $X \longrightarrow I$ with I injective.

Clearly 1-Mod has enough projectives for any ring 1. Our aim now is to prove:

Theorem (Baer) For any ring 1, 1-Mod has enough injectives.

--/}

Def An object $G \in C$ is a generator if $Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(G,-): C \longrightarrow \underline{Set}$ is faithful, that is, whenever $u, v: X \longrightarrow Y$ are distinct (x, Y arbitrary) there is a mouphism $g: C \longrightarrow X$ with $u \circ g \neq v \circ g$ which "witnesses" the distinction.

- <u>Def</u> An object $U \in G$ is a <u>cogenerator</u> if it is a generator in $G^{\circ P}$, rewhenever $u, v : X \longrightarrow Y$ are distinct there exists $g : Y \longrightarrow G$ with $g \circ u \neq g \circ v$.
- Remarks (1) If $\mathcal{E} = \Lambda$ -Mod then G is a generator \iff Home (G, -) sends nonzew objects to nonzew objects, and dually.
 - (2) Λ is a generator for Λ -Mod.

Lemma Q/Z is an injective cogenerator for Ab.

Proof Let A be a nonzew abelian gwap, and 0 + a ∈ A. Consider the map

 $\mathcal{L}_a: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow A$, $\mathcal{L}_a(1) = a$.

If a has infinite order S_a includes $Z \cong (a)$. Define $S: (a) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by choosing any nonzero S(a). If a has order n, then S_a includes $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong (a)$ and $S: (a) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, $S(a) = \frac{1}{n}$ is well-defined. In either case, since \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is injective S lifts to $Y: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ with $Y(a) \neq 0$, so $Y \neq 0$, and we have shown $Hom_{Ab}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$. D

- Lemma If G is a projective generator for G then for any object X there is an epimorphism $\coprod_{i \in I} G \longrightarrow X$ for some set I.
- Proof Take I = Home(G, X) and for $i \in I$ set $G_i := G$ and $f_i : G \to X$ to be i, that is, $f_i := i$. This includes $f : \coprod_{i \in I} G \to X$ with $f \circ u_i = f_i$; for all i (here $u_i : G_i \to \coprod_{i \in I} G$ are the mouphisms into the coproduct). To see f is epi, suppose af = bf. Then precomposing with u_i we have $af_i = bf_i$ for all i. But then a = b since G is a generator. \square

Lemma If G has a projective generator it has enough projectives.

Dualising, we have

Lemma If C has a cogenerator U then for every object X there is a monomorphism $X \longrightarrow \Pi_{i \in I} U$ for some inclex set I.

Lemma If C has an injective cogenerator it has enough injectives.

Corollay Ab has enough injectives.

To prove Baer's theorem recall:

actually in the end I didn't use this, and stated things directly, but this lemma is still with keeping

<u>Lemma</u> A Λ -module I is injective if and only if for every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow D$ the sequence

$$O \longrightarrow Hom_{\Lambda}(A'', I) \longrightarrow Hom_{\Lambda}(A, I) \longrightarrow Hom_{\Lambda}(A', I) \longrightarrow O$$
 (*)

is exact.

Roof The exactness of (4) is equivalent to Homa (A, I) \longrightarrow Homa (A', I) being epi. If I is injective this is clearly true, and if b is exact for every sequence and we are given a mono $A^{l} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, apply this to $0 \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{l} \xrightarrow{\sim} A \longrightarrow (oker(al) \rightarrow 0 \cdot 1)$

Remark Let G be an abelian group, Λ a ring. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{Ab}(\Lambda, G)$ is naturally a left Λ -module via the action, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\mathcal{I} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{Ab}(\Lambda, G)$, $(\lambda \cdot \mathcal{I})(\mu) = \mathcal{I}(\mu\lambda)$. This defines a functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{Ab}(\Lambda, -) \cdot \underline{Ab} \to \Lambda$ -Mod.

Lemma The functor $Hom_{\underline{A}\underline{b}}(\Lambda, -)$ is right adjoint to the forgetful functor $F: \Lambda-Mod \longrightarrow \underline{Ab}$.

Proof Define a function, for a 1-module M and a belian group a,

$$\overline{\Phi}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{Ab}}(\Lambda, G)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{Ab}}(F(M), G)$$
(4.1)

by the formula $\Phi(f)(m) = f(m)(1\Lambda)$. Since f is additive, $\Phi(f)$ is an element of $Hom_{AL}(FM,G)$. We define

$$\mathfrak{E}': \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{Ab}}(FM,G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M,\operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{Ab}}(\Lambda,G))$$

by $\Phi'(9)(m)(\lambda) = g(\lambda m)$. Again it is clear $\Phi'(9)(m)$ is linear in λ , and that $\Phi'(9)$ is additive in m. To see $\Phi'(9)$ is Λ -linear, observe for $\mu \in \Lambda$

$$\underline{\Psi}'(9)(\mu m)(\lambda) = g(\lambda \mu m)
= (\mu \cdot \underline{\Psi}'(9)(m))(\lambda)$$

50 $\mathbb{E}'(9)(\mu m) = \mu \cdot \mathbb{E}'(9)(m)$ as required. We need only show \mathbb{E} is natural (which we leave as an easy exercise) and that $\mathbb{E}' \circ \mathbb{E} = \mathrm{id}$, $\mathbb{E} \circ \mathbb{E}' = \mathrm{id}$.

$$\underbrace{\boxed{\cancel{\Phi}' \, \mathbf{\Phi} = id}} \quad \underbrace{\cancel{\Phi}'(\, \mathbf{\Phi}(f) \,)(m)(\lambda) = \mathbf{\Phi}(f)(\, \lambda m) = f(\lambda m)(1_{\Lambda})}_{= (\lambda \cdot f(m))(1_{\Lambda}) = f(m)(\lambda) \cdot \cdot \cdot \underbrace{\cancel{\Phi}'(\, \mathbf{\Phi}f) = f}_{= f(M)(\Lambda)}$$

$$\boxed{\underline{\Phi} \circ \underline{\Phi}' = id} \quad \underline{\Phi} (\underline{\Phi}'g)(m) = \underline{\Phi}'g(m)(11) = g(m) \text{ so } \underline{\Phi}(\underline{\Phi}'g) = g. \square$$

Ex 3 Check & is natural in M, a.

(The reference for today's lecture is Mitchell "Theory of categories" I.15)

Notice that (4.1) is an isomorphism of abelian groups, not just sets.

Proof of Baer's theorem Take $G = \Omega/\mathbb{Z}$ in the above to get a natural iso

$$Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(M, Hom_{\mathcal{A}b}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \cong Hom_{\mathcal{A}b}(FM, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$
 (*)

Set $E := \text{Hom}_{Ab}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. We claim this is an injective wgenerator for Λ -Mod, when Λ -Mod has enough injectives, and we are done.

· Eis a cogenerator since for a Λ-module Π, by (*)

$$M = 0 \Leftrightarrow Hom_{Ab}(FM, Q/Z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Hom_{A}(M, E) = 0.$$

To/Z vogenerates Ab

E is injective. Since (*) is natural, for a mono u: M → M' we have
a commutative diagram

Since Q/Z is injective the bottom row is surjective, hence so is the top vow, whence Ξ is injective. \Box