

Def<sup>n</sup>: (Pre-terms).

Assume given an infinite set of variables  $V$ .

Let  $A$  be the alphabet  $V \cup \{ (, ), \lambda, \cdot \}$

Let  $A^*$  be the set of all possible strings over  $A$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be the smallest subset of  $A^*$  s.t.:

- If  $x \in V$ , then  $x \in \Lambda$  Variables
- If  $M, N \in \Lambda$ , then  $(MN) \in \Lambda$  Applications
- If  $x \in V$  and  $M \in \Lambda$ , then  $(\lambda x.M) \in \Lambda$  Abstractions.

The elements of  $\Lambda$  are called pre-terms.

Examples:

Pre-terms:

- $x$
- $((xx)(yy))$
- $(\lambda x.x)$
- $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.x))$
- $(\lambda x.(xx))$

Not pre terms:

- $()$
- $\lambda x$
- $(x_1(x_2(x_3(\dots$
- $\lambda M.N$ ,  $M$  not a variable.

## Conventional Shorthand.

- Omit outer brackets.
- Applications associate to the ~~right~~ <sup>left</sup>.
- Abstractions associate to the right.
- Multiple abstractions can be concatenated.

Write	Mean	Don't mean
$MN$	$(MN)$	
$\lambda x.M$	$(\lambda x.M)$	
$MNP$	$((MN)P)$	$(M(NP))$
$M_1M_2M_3M_4$	$((M_1M_2)M_3)M_4$	$(M_1M_2)(M_3M_4), (M_1(M_2(M_3M_4)))$
$\lambda x.MN$	$(\lambda x.(MN))$	$((\lambda x.M)N)$
$\lambda xyz.M$	$(\lambda x.(\lambda y.(\lambda z.M)))$	$(\lambda(xyz).M)$

## Free variables.

Def<sup>n</sup> 2: (The set  $FV(\cdot)$  of free variables).

let  $x \in V, M, N \in \Lambda$ :

- $FV(x) = \{x\}$  ①
- $FV(MN) = FV(M) \cup FV(N)$  ②
- $FV(\lambda x.M) = FV(M) - \{x\}$ . ③

Eg) Find  $FV(x \lambda x. xy)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= FV(x) \cup FV(\lambda x. xy) \quad \text{②} \\
 &= \{x\} \cup (FV(xy) - \{x\}) \quad \text{①, ③} \\
 &= \{x\} \cup ((FV(x) \cup FV(y)) - \{x\}) \quad \text{②} \\
 &= \{x\} \cup ((\{x\} \cup \{y\}) - \{x\}) \quad \text{①} \\
 &= \{x\} \cup (\{x, y\} - \{x\}) \\
 &= \{x\} \cup \{y\} \\
 &= \{x, y\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

└

Def<sup>n</sup> 3: (Free/bound occurrences).

An occurrence of  $x$  in  $M$  is said to be a bound occurrence iff the occurrence is in part of  $M$  of the form  $\lambda x.L$ , otherwise, we say it is a free occurrence.

Lemma:  $x \in FV(M)$  iff there is a free occurrence of  $x$  in  $M$ .  
(Proof by induction on  $\ell(M)$ , the length of  $M$ ).

Def<sup>n</sup> 4: (Substitution). Replacing  $x$  in  $M$  with  $N$ , written:  $M[x:=N]$ .  
2 cases:  $x$  only has bound occurrences, or no occurrences in  $M$ .

or

$x$  has a free occurrence in  $M$ , but not in part of  $M$  with the shape  $\lambda y.L$ ,  $y \in FV(N)$  ( $x \neq y$ ).

In these two cases, substitution is defined, and has the following properties:

- $x[x:=N] = N$
- $y[x:=N] = y$ , if  $x \neq y$ .
- $(PQ)[x:=N] = P[x:=N]Q[x:=N]$
- $(\lambda x.P)[x:=N] = \lambda x.P$
- $(\lambda y.P)[x:=N] = \lambda y.P[x:=N]$ , if  $x \neq y$ .

If we ignore our requirements for substitution to be defined, and naively replaced  $x$  in  $M$  with  $N$ , we get the following:

$$\cancel{(\lambda x.xz)} (\lambda y.xz)[x:=yz] = \lambda y.yzz \text{ (Naive)}$$

$$(\lambda y'.xz)[x:=yz] = \lambda y'.yzz.$$

Later we will identify  $\lambda y.xz$  and  $\lambda y'.xz$ , but not  $\lambda y.yzz$  and  $\lambda y'.yzz$ . We notice that in our naive substitution, we have captured our variable  $y$ , which is free in  $yz$ , but not in  $\lambda y.yzz$ .

We must avoid this.

This explains why we only define substitution in one of these two specific circumstances.

Another helpful lemma:

If  $x \notin FV(L)$  or  $y \notin FV(M)$  and all substitutions are defined, then

$$M[x := N][y := L] = M[y := L][x := N[y := L]].$$

Proof is a great exercise!

Def<sup>n</sup> 5: ( $\alpha$ -equivalence), ( $\alpha$ -conversion).

Smallest transitive, reflexive relation on  $\Lambda$  satisfying:

- If  $y \notin FV(M)$  and  $M[x := y]$  is defined, then  $\lambda x.M =_{\alpha} \lambda y.M[x := y]$
- If  $M =_{\alpha} N$ , then  $\lambda x.M =_{\alpha} \lambda x.N$ ,  $\forall x \in V$ .
- If  $M =_{\alpha} N$ , then  $MZ =_{\alpha} NZ$
- If  $M =_{\alpha} N$ , then  $ZM =_{\alpha} ZN$ .

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x y. x y &=_{\alpha} \lambda y x. y x \\ \lambda x. x y &\neq_{\alpha} \lambda y. y x. \end{aligned}$$

Helpful lemmas:

- If  $M =_{\alpha} N$ , then  $FV(M) = FV(N)$
- If  $M =_{\alpha} N$ , then  $l(M) = l(N)$
- If  $M =_{\alpha} M'$  and  $N =_{\alpha} N'$ , then  $M[x := N] =_{\alpha} M'[x := N']$ .

Def<sup>n</sup> 6:  $\lambda$ -terms.

$\alpha$ -Equivalence classes of pre-terms, with respect to  $\alpha$ -equivalence.

Define:  $\Lambda_{\alpha} = \{[M]_{\alpha} \mid M \in \Lambda\}$ ,  $[M]_{\alpha} = \{N \in \Lambda \mid M =_{\alpha} N\}$ .

Example:  $[\lambda x. x]_{\alpha} = \{\lambda x. x, \lambda y. y, \dots\}$

Write:  $\lambda x. x$ .

Note:  $M =_{\alpha} N \Rightarrow FV(M) = FV(N)$  (Proof on page 6).

$M[x := N] =_{\alpha} M'[x := N']$  (if  $M =_{\alpha} M'$ ,  $N =_{\alpha} N'$ ). (Proof on page 6)

Free variables and substitution for  $\lambda$ -terms:

We have already stated that these notions are well defined wrt  $\alpha$ -equivalence.

~~So pick a pre-term representative, calculate the pre-term  $FV(\cdot)$  set / perform the substitution, then~~

Free variables:

Pick a pre-term representative, calculate the free-variable set of this pre-term.

Since  $FV(\cdot)$  is well defined wrt  $\alpha$ -equivalence, it is OK to call this the free variable set of the  $\lambda$ -term.

Substitution:

Pick a pre-term representative of the equivalence class s.t. the corresponding ~~defini~~ substitution is defined. Perform this substitution, then take the equivalence class of this  $\lambda$ -term.

Example:  $(\lambda x. zy)[z := xy]$

Take the pre-term:  $\lambda x'. zy$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda x'. zy)[z := xy]$

$= \lambda x'. xyy$

Take the equivalence class:

$\lambda x'. xyy$  is fine, might write:  $\lambda z. xyy$

Def<sup>n</sup> 7: ( $\beta$ -reduction,  $\beta$ -reduces).

The least compatible ~~funct~~ relation on  $\Lambda_\alpha$  s.t.:

- i)  $(\lambda x. P)Q \rightarrow_\beta P[x := Q]$
- ii) if  $M \rightarrow_\beta N$ , then  $\lambda x. M \rightarrow_\beta \lambda x. N$
- iii) if  $M \rightarrow_\beta N$ , then  $MZ \rightarrow_\beta NZ$ , and  $ZM \rightarrow_\beta ZN$ .

A  $\lambda$ -term of the form  $(\lambda x. P)Q$  is called a  $\beta$ -redex.

Note: There is an important difference between  $\beta$ -redexes and abstractions.

$(\lambda x. y)z$  is a  $\beta$ -redex  
 $(\lambda x. y)$  is not.

Examples:

Def<sup>n</sup> 8: (multi-step  $\beta$ -reduction)

If we allow transitivity, then we get multi-step  $\beta$ -red.

Write:  $P \twoheadrightarrow_\beta Q$ .

Examples:  $(\lambda a. ab)cd \rightarrow_\beta cbd$

$(\lambda a. ab)(cd) \rightarrow_\beta cdb$

$(\lambda a. b)(\lambda zxy. xxx(M\lambda a. aa)) \rightarrow_\beta b$

Is this a  $\beta$ -redex? No!

$(\lambda x. xxx)(\lambda x. xxx) \rightarrow_\beta (\lambda x. xxx)(\lambda x. xxx)$

$(\lambda x. xxx)(\lambda x. xxx) \rightarrow_\beta (\lambda x. xxx)(\lambda x. xxx)(\lambda x. xxx)$

let  $\omega = \lambda x. xxx$ ,  $I = \lambda x. x$ .  $\omega(II) \rightarrow_\beta \omega I$

$\omega(II) \twoheadrightarrow_\beta (II)(II)$

$(\lambda p. p(\lambda xy. y))(\lambda x. x MN) \rightarrow_\beta (\lambda x. x MN)(\lambda xy. y) \rightarrow_\beta (\lambda xy. y)MN \twoheadrightarrow_\beta N$ .

$(\lambda xy. x)MN \twoheadrightarrow_\beta M$ .

(Very) helpful lemmas.

- i) If  $x \notin FV(M)$ , then  $M[x:=N]$  is defined, and  $M[x:=N] = M$ .
- ii) If  $M[x:=N]$  is defined, then  $y \in FV(M[x:=N])$  iff
  - $y \in FV(M)$ , and  $x \neq y$ , or
  - $y \in FV(N)$ , and  $x \in FV(M)$ .
- iii)  $M[x:=x]$  is defined, and  $M[x:=x] = M$ .
- iv) If  $M[x:=y]$  is defined, then  $l(M) = l(M[x:=y])$ .

Prove all by induction on  $l(M)$ .

eg) iv:

Base case,  $l(M) = 1$ .  $M = \text{var}$ , result is obvious.

Inductive step, Assume  $l(M) = k$ , result is true for all  $N$  s.t.  $l(N) < k$ , ie for all  $N$  s.t.  $l(N) < k$ ,  $l(N) = l(N[x:=y])$ .

3 cases,  $M$  a variable, application, or abstraction.

Case 1: Back to base case.

Case 2:  ~~$M = M_1 M_2$~~

$$\begin{aligned} l(M[x:=y]) &= l(M_1[x:=y] M_2[x:=y]) \\ &= l(M_1[x:=y]) + l(M_2[x:=y]) + 2 \\ &= l(M_1) + l(M_2) + 2, \text{ induct hyp.} \\ &= l(M_1 M_2) \\ &= l(M) // \end{aligned}$$

Case 3:  $M = (\lambda z. Q)$ , with either  $z = x$ ,  $z \neq x$ .

Result is obvious for  $z = x$ .

If  $z \neq x$ ,  $l(M[x:=y])$

$$\begin{aligned} &= l(\lambda z. Q[x:=y]) \\ &= l(Q[x:=y]) + 5 \\ &= l(Q) + 5, \text{ induct hyp.} \\ &= l(\lambda z. Q) \\ &= l(M) // \quad \square \end{aligned}$$